



## NUTRIENT CONTENTS AND UPTAKE BY GARLIC (*Allium sativum* L.) AS INFLUENCED BY THE APPLICATION OF INORGANIC FERTILIZERS AND BIOFORMUALTIONS

Seelothu Rakesh<sup>1\*</sup>, J. Cheena<sup>2</sup>, P. Prasanth<sup>3</sup>, M. Srinivas<sup>4</sup>, B. Naveen Kumar<sup>5</sup> and V. Suresh<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1,4\*</sup>Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, <sup>2</sup>Dean of Horticulture, <sup>3</sup>Associate Dean, <sup>5</sup>Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, <sup>6</sup>Plant Pathology, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana Horticultural University, Hyderabad - 500 030, Telangana (India)

\*e-mail: seelothrakesh97@gmail.com

(Received 25 June, 2025; accepted 30 September, 2025)

### ABSTRACT

Field experiments were carried out to assess the impact of various bioformulations in presence of different levels of inorganic fertilizers on plant nutrient (NPK) availability and uptake by garlic during *rabi* seasons (2022-2023 and 2023-2024) at the Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Research Station, SKLTGHU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad (India). The study was conducted in a contrast factorial randomized block design with ten treatments including control, each replicated three times. The results demonstrated higher nitrogen (2.14%), and phosphorus (0.59%) contents in plant and greater N & P uptake (98.62 and 27.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in treatment 50% N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + potassium solubilizing bacteria @ 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + *Trichoderma viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + sesame oil @ 0.5% over other treatments. The highest available N, P and K (166.85, 38.31 and 137.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil, highest potassium content (1.85%) and uptake (82.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in plant was found in treatment (50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + phosphorus solubilizing bacteria @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + *Trichoderma viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + neem oil @ 0.5%). The study revealed that there is a need to assess different combinations of nutrient sources, botanicals and bioagents on physiological and nutritional behaviour of garlic.

**Keywords:** *Bacillus subtilis*, bioformualtions, garlic, neem oil, nutrient uptake, pongamia oil, sesame oil, *Trichoderma viride*

### INTRODUCTION

Garlic (*Allium sativum* L), a monocotyledonous bulbous plant of relatively short vegetation season (90-140 days from sprouting to harvest), is grown in tropical to temperate regions all over the world. It is a member of Amariyllidaceae (Alliaceae) family and originates from Central Asia (Parreno *et al.*, 2023). It is one of the most widely grown bulbous crop in the world and the 2<sup>nd</sup> most widely cultivated *Allium* species after onion. In India, garlic is cultivated on area of 3.83 lakh ha with a total production of 32.14 lakh tonnes in 2023-24 (Spice Board, 2023-2024).

Garlic requires balanced nutrient supply for optimal growth. Integrated nutrient management involving chemical nutrients and biofertilizers is an eco-friendly approach to achieve optimum yield without affecting the soil physicochemical conditions. Balanced use of fertilizers is vital for vegetative growth and high quality yields especially on arable soils (Chintala *et al.*, 2012). The availability of nitrogen and phosphorus is crucial for plant growth and development as these are indispensable components of nucleic acid, enzymes, etc. The adequate N supply to the plant is essential to enhance carbohydrate metabolism. This, in turn, increases bulb weight and total yield. Phosphorus regulates a

number of physiological processes but its non-availability is a major concern as P-availability is controlled by soil conditions (Vilar *et al.*, 2010). Low P availability decreases garlic bulb quality and yield, as well as root and leaf development (Stone *et al.*, 2001). Potassium is essential for plant metabolism including photosynthesis, photosynthetic translocation, catalyst activation, and disease and pest resistance (Dorais *et al.*, 2001). In addition to NPK, sulphur is involved in many physiological functions vital for plant growth. However, garlic, being a shallow-rooted crop, often faces challenges in nutrient uptake, especially when nutrients leach beyond the root zone and render them unavailable to the plants (Thangasamy, 2016). To address this issue, the application of fertilizer nutrients in reduced amount directly in the root zone or via microbial inoculation has shown promise in enhancing the nutrient use efficiency (Shahwar *et al.*, 2023).

The use of biofertilizers improves plant nutrient availability and uptake, thus lowers the chemical fertilizer requirement amid environmental concerns. *Azotobacter chroococcum* is a soil-dwelling, free-living N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria. Furthermore, phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) play a crucial role in converting fixed or unavailable nutrients to readily available forms (Wang *et al.*, 2024; Xu *et al.*, 2024). Nutrient uptake rates vary with plant growth stages. N demand is the highest during seedling production and vegetative growth phase (Padhan *et al.*, 2023; Abou Fayssal *et al.*, 2024). The biological agents like *Trichoderma viride* can efficiently reduce the plant pathogenic population by triggering the plant defense mechanisms. The use of bioagents has gained worldwide acceptability as an alternative to conventional pesticides, frequently applied for pest/disease management (Benitez *et al.*, 2004; O'Brien, 2017). Some *Pseudomonas* species have the ability to produce phenazines and siderophores which improve the availability of iron and other growth promoting substances to the plant. Besides, the use of bioagents improves systemic resistance (Pierson and Pierson, 2010). Some *Bacillus* species like *B. subtilis* are efficient phosphorus solubilizers as well as promote plant growth through release of plant hormones (Gyaneshwar *et al.*, 2002). The yield and quality traits of onion was enhanced by the combined use of half dose of chemical fertilizers (N, P and K) along with biofertilizers (Vikram Pal *et al.*, 2025). Arunachalam *et al.* (2024) suggested that combining 100% RDF with *S. indica* or PSB can enhance onion productivity and nutrient use efficiency of onion. Saini *et al.* (2024) reported that the combined application of 75% recommended dose of NPK, 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, *B. subtilis* and farm yard manure resulted in improved availability of soil nutrients for onion. The present study was aimed to evaluate the effect of three different types/levels of biofertilizers in conjunction with various bioformulations (bioinoculants and botanical extracts) on plant nutrient (NPK) availability and uptake in garlic.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Soil and climatic characteristics of experimental site*

The field experiments were conducted at Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Research Station, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana Horticultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad (India) [latitude, 17°19' N, longitude, 79°23' E; altitude 542.6 m masl]. The experimental site is situated in semi-arid tropical zone with average maximum temperature during crop period 2022-23 and 2023-24 recorded as 33.5 and 36.3°C, respectively, and minimum average temperature as 13.7 and 16°C, respectively. The average maximum relative humidity during crop period 2022-23 and 2023-24 was 92.0 and 92.0% with average minimum relative humidity of 35.0 and 29.0%, respectively. The soil was sandy loam in texture, poor in water holding capacity, and acidic in nature (pH, 5.53). In both years, the composite soil samples from the entire experimental plots were collected randomly and analysed for various soil characteristics before planting the cloves in field. Standard protocols were followed to analyse the soil pH (Jackson, 1973), electrical conductivity (Jackson, 1973), organic carbon (Walkley and Black, 1934), available nitrogen (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), available phosphorus (Bray and Kurtz, 1945)

and available potassium (Jackson, 1973). The soil was low in organic carbon ( $0.2 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ ), available N ( $124.33 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), available phosphorus ( $24.9 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and medium in available K ( $107.06 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ).

### **Experimental design and layout**

The experimental area for present study was divided into 3 blocks, and each block subdivided into ten plots. The standard package of practices was followed during the entire crop period [ICAR-DOGR (Directorate of Onion and Garlic Research)]. Raised beds of  $2.0 \text{ m} \times 3.0 \text{ m}$  size were prepared. Recommended dose of well decomposed FYM @  $20 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  was applied before last ploughing and mixed well in the soil. The FYM contained 0.5% N, 0.25%  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ , and 0.5%  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ . The soil nutrient status was assessed before applying the fertilizers using standard methods as above. The recommended doses of fertilizers (RDF) for garlic is  $100 \text{ kg N}$ ,  $60 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5$ , and  $50 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ . One-third nitrogen, as per treatments, was applied as basal dose at planting time and the remaining two-third N was applied in two equal splits 30 and 45 days after planting. RDF were applied in the form of urea, single superphosphate, muriate of potash. Garlic cloves were planted at a spacing of  $10 \times 15 \text{ cm}$ . The experiment comprised of 10 treatments and each treatment was replicated three times in a contrast factorial randomized block design (Teja *et al.*, 2024) with three levels of inorganic nutrients ( $\text{N}_1$ ,  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{N}_3$ ) in main plots [1]  $\text{N}_1$ : 100% N + 50% P + 50% K + phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (PSB @  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) @  $6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ; 2)  $\text{N}_2$ : 50% N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter* @  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + KSB @  $6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ; and 3)  $\text{N}_3$ : 50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter* @  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + PSB @  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ] and three levels ( $\text{B}_1$ ,  $\text{B}_2$  and  $\text{B}_3$ ) in subplot [1]  $\text{B}_1$ : *Trichoderma viride* @  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + neem oil @ 0.5%; 2)  $\text{B}_2$ : *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + pongamia oil @ 0.5% and; 3)  $\text{B}_3$ : *Bacillus subtilis* @  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + sesame oil @ 1%]. Also, a control treatment (100% RDF + imidacloprid 17.8 SL @  $0.3 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$ ) was maintained for comparison. The combination treatment details are as under:

$\text{N}_1\text{B}_1$ : 100% N + 50% P + 50% K + PSB  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + KSB  $6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + *T. viride*  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + neem oil 0.5%;

$\text{N}_1\text{B}_2$ : 100% N + 50% P + 50% K + PSB  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + KSB  $6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + *P. fluorescens*  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + pongamia oil 0.5%;

$\text{N}_1\text{B}_3$ : 100% N + 50% P + 50% K + PSB  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + KSB  $6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + *B. subtilis*  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + sesame oil 1%;

$\text{N}_2\text{B}_1$ : 50% N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter*  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + KSB  $6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + *T. viride*  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + neem oil 0.5%;

$\text{N}_2\text{B}_2$ : 50% N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter*  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + KSB  $6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + *P. fluorescens*  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + pongamia oil 0.5%;

$\text{N}_2\text{B}_3$ : 50% N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter*  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + KSB  $6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + *P. fluorescens*  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + pongamia oil 1%;

$\text{N}_3\text{B}_1$ : 50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter*  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + PSB  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + *T. viride*  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + neem oil 0.5%;

$\text{N}_3\text{B}_2$ : 50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter*  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + PSB  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + *P. fluorescens*  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + pongamia oil 0.5%;

$\text{N}_2\text{B}_3$ : 50% N + 100% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter*  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + PSB  $5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + *B. subtilis*  $10 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$  + sesame oil 1%;

Control: 100% RDF + imidacloprid @  $3 \text{ mL L}^{-1}$

The required quantities of bioformulations were applied as soil drench as well as foliar application to the plots. The biofertilizers *viz.*, *Azotobacter*, PSB and KSB were applied in powder form while bioinoculants *viz.*, *Trichoderma*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* were applied in liquid form. These bioorganic materials were collected from Department of Plant Pathology, Professor Jayashankar Telangana Agricultural University. The biofertilizers were applied before last ploughing and well mixed in soil. Neem oil was extracted from neem seeds and kernels while pongamia and sesame oils were extracted from pongamia and sesame seeds and applied as foliar spray. The bioformulations *viz.*, biocontrol agents and botanical oils were applied as soil drench as well as repeated at 30 day's interval till bulb forming stage. Hand weeding was done 45 to 60 days after planting for weed management and irrigation was applied based on soil moisture content as and when required during the experimental period in all the plots.

### **Observations**

The soil samples were collected at harvest from all the treatments by digging V shaped cut at a depth of 0–20 cm. These soil samples were processed and sieved using a 2 mm sieve and stored in cloth bag till soil analysis. The available soil N was estimated using alkaline  $\text{KMnO}_4$  method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), which is based on the extraction of inorganic and readily oxidizable nitrogen from organic compounds. The available soil  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  was determined by Olsen's method (Olsen *et al.*, 1954). Available soil  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  was determined with neutral normal  $\text{NH}_4\text{OAc}$  and K estimated from the extract

by using a flame photometer (SYSTRONICS CL361) as suggested by Jackson (1973). Whole plant samples were collected from each treatment at harvest and thoroughly rinsed with distilled water. The samples were chopped into pieces, and air-dried. The samples were oven-dried at 58°C until a constant weight was attained. Also, the leaf and bulb samples were ground, passed through a 2.0 mm sieve, and used for plant nutrient analysis. Total N was analysed by using micro-Kjeldahl's method (Piper, 1966). To estimate total P, 0.5 g plant samples were digested using di-acid. The digest was filtered through Whatman filter paper No. 40 and used for P estimation. For potassium estimation the samples were digested in tri-acid and K content assessed as per Piper (1966). The plant nutrient uptake was computed by multiplying the estimated per cent nutrient concentration in plant with dry plant yield.

### ***Statistical analyses***

The mean data on various parameters obtained from consecutive two years were statistically analysed by analysis of variance (Gomez and Gomez, 1983). Least significant difference (LSD) values at  $p_{0.05}$  were used to determine the significance of difference between treatment means.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### ***Nutrient content in soil***

The available nutrient content in soil showed significant difference among soil nutrients and bioformulations (Table 1), while their interactions were non-significant. However, significant difference was found between control and interaction. The application of different levels of RDF along with microbial inoculations revealed significant increase in total N, P and K contents in soil as compared to the treatments involving 100% RDF without any microbial inoculation in both the years (Table 1). Based on two years pooled data, the highest available N (166.85 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P (38.31 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K (133.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in the treatment receiving 50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with *Trichoderma viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + neem oil @ 0.5%. This treatment showed highest N, P and K content in soil, depicting an increase of 9.69% for N, 24.99% for P and 14.47% for K as compared to the 100% RDF treatment. The highest mean total N, P and K in soil was observed in the year 2022-2023 as compared to 2023-2024. The available N, P and K was notably high in the treatments inoculated with either PSB or KSB as compared to the inorganic fertilizer treatments. *Azotobacter* and PSB have the ability to convert both organic and inorganic P into soluble forms, thereby enhance their availability in soil (Kalayu, 2019; Rawat *et al.*, 2021) by secreting extracellular enzymes, mineralizing substrates, and producing mineral-dissolving complexes/ compounds (Sharma *et al.*, 2013; Silva *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, PSB activity in rhizosphere influences the production and availability of hormones like auxins, cytokinins, and gibberellins (Kenneth *et al.*, 2019; Raza *et al.*, 2019). The increase in available N, P and K contents in soil could possibly be due to the beneficial role of *Azotobacter*, PSB and KSB in arresting N, P and K fixation and retaining it in available form for extended period. These results are in agreement with findings of Nainwal *et al.* (2015).

### ***Plant nutrient contents***

The data pertaining to plant nutrient content showed significant difference among soil nutrients and bioformulations, while their interactions were non-significant (Table 2). However, significant difference was found between control and interaction. The application of different levels of RDF in combination with microbial inoculations significantly increased the total NPK concentration in plant as compared to the treatments involving 100% RDF without microbial inoculation in both the years (Table 3). Based on two years pooled data, the highest concentration of N (2.14%) and P (0.59%) was observed in the treatment receiving 50%N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + KSB @ 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with *Trichoderma viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + neem oil @ 0.5%. However, the highest K concentration (1.85%) was observed in the treatment receiving 50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter*

**Table 1: Influence of soil nutrients and bioformulation application on soil NPK content**

Treatments	Available nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Available phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Available potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	2022-2023	2023-2024	Overall mean	2022-2023	2023-2024	Overall mean	2022-2023	2023-2024	Overall mean
<b>Soil nutrients (N)</b>									
N <sub>1</sub>	157.57 <sup>b</sup>	154.46 <sup>b</sup>	156.01 <sup>b</sup>	34.33 <sup>b</sup>	28.94 <sup>b</sup>	31.6 <sup>b</sup>	121.93 <sup>b</sup>	116.0 <sup>b</sup>	118.9 <sup>b</sup>
N <sub>2</sub>	151.52 <sup>c</sup>	150.62 <sup>c</sup>	151.07 <sup>c</sup>	31.82 <sup>c</sup>	27.93 <sup>c</sup>	29.8 <sup>c</sup>	114.58 <sup>c</sup>	109.2 <sup>c</sup>	111.9 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>3</sub>	165.57 <sup>a</sup>	162.59 <sup>a</sup>	164.08 <sup>a</sup>	39.27 <sup>a</sup>	34.34 <sup>a</sup>	36.8 <sup>a</sup>	132.32 <sup>a</sup>	128.8 <sup>a</sup>	130.6 <sup>a</sup>
S.Em <sub>±</sub>	0.37	0.38	0.26	0.13	0.14	0.10	0.35	0.32	0.19
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	1.13	1.15	0.79	0.40	0.44	0.32	1.05	0.96	0.59
<b>Bio-formulations (B)</b>									
B <sub>1</sub>	160.39 <sup>a</sup>	158.34 <sup>a</sup>	159.37 <sup>a</sup>	36.65 <sup>a</sup>	32.25 <sup>a</sup>	34.4 <sup>a</sup>	125.82 <sup>a</sup>	120.9 <sup>a</sup>	123.3 <sup>a</sup>
B <sub>2</sub>	155.57 <sup>c</sup>	153.4 <sup>c</sup>	154.48 <sup>c</sup>	33.34 <sup>c</sup>	29.13 <sup>c</sup>	31.2 <sup>c</sup>	119.65 <sup>c</sup>	115.4 <sup>c</sup>	117.5 <sup>c</sup>
B <sub>3</sub>	158.69 <sup>b</sup>	155.93 <sup>b</sup>	157.31 <sup>b</sup>	35.43 <sup>b</sup>	29.84 <sup>b</sup>	32.6 <sup>b</sup>	123.36 <sup>b</sup>	117.6 <sup>b</sup>	120.5 <sup>b</sup>
SEm <sub>±</sub>	0.37	0.38	0.26	0.13	0.14	0.10	0.35	0.32	0.19
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	1.18	1.75	0.91	0.49	0.44	0.32	1.55	0.98	0.99
<b>Interaction (N x B)</b>									
N <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	158.45 <sup>d</sup>	157.21 <sup>d</sup>	157.83 <sup>d</sup>	36.13 <sup>b</sup>	32.09 <sup>b</sup>	34.11 <sup>b</sup>	124.5 <sup>d</sup>	119.9 <sup>d</sup>	122.2 <sup>d</sup>
N <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	155.12 <sup>e</sup>	152.3 <sup>ef</sup>	153.7 <sup>e</sup>	32.21 <sup>de</sup>	28.56 <sup>c</sup>	30.39 <sup>c</sup>	119.4 <sup>f</sup>	113.4 <sup>ef</sup>	116.4 <sup>f</sup>
N <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	159.14 <sup>d</sup>	153.91 <sup>e</sup>	156.53 <sup>d</sup>	34.64 <sup>c</sup>	26.18 <sup>d</sup>	30.41 <sup>c</sup>	121.7 <sup>e</sup>	114.6 <sup>e</sup>	118.2 <sup>e</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	154.48 <sup>e</sup>	152.4 <sup>ef</sup>	153.42 <sup>e</sup>	32.99 <sup>d</sup>	28.87 <sup>c</sup>	30.93 <sup>c</sup>	117.4 <sup>gh</sup>	112.0 <sup>f</sup>	114.7 <sup>g</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	148.95 <sup>g</sup>	148.45 <sup>g</sup>	148.7 <sup>g</sup>	30.77 <sup>f</sup>	26.56 <sup>d</sup>	28.67 <sup>d</sup>	110.54 <sup>i</sup>	106.2 <sup>h</sup>	108.4 <sup>i</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	151.13 <sup>f</sup>	151.04 <sup>f</sup>	151.09 <sup>f</sup>	31.7 <sup>ef</sup>	28.36 <sup>c</sup>	30.03 <sup>c</sup>	115.76 <sup>h</sup>	109.4 <sup>g</sup>	112.6 <sup>h</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	168.25 <sup>a</sup>	165.45 <sup>a</sup>	166.85 <sup>a</sup>	40.83 <sup>a</sup>	35.78 <sup>a</sup>	38.31 <sup>a</sup>	135.45 <sup>a</sup>	130.9 <sup>a</sup>	133.2 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	162.64 <sup>c</sup>	159.48 <sup>c</sup>	161.06 <sup>c</sup>	37.03 <sup>b</sup>	32.27 <sup>b</sup>	34.65 <sup>b</sup>	128.98 <sup>c</sup>	126.5 <sup>c</sup>	127.7 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	165.81 <sup>b</sup>	162.85 <sup>b</sup>	164.33 <sup>b</sup>	39.95 <sup>a</sup>	34.98 <sup>a</sup>	37.47 <sup>a</sup>	132.54 <sup>b</sup>	128.8 <sup>b</sup>	130.7 <sup>b</sup>
SEm <sub>±</sub>	1.13	1.15	0.79	0.40	0.44	0.32	1.05	0.96	0.59
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Control	150.5 <sup>fg</sup>	153.67 <sup>e</sup>	152.1 <sup>ef</sup>	36.13 <sup>b</sup>	28.63 <sup>c</sup>	30.65 <sup>c</sup>	118.69 <sup>fg</sup>	114.03 <sup>e</sup>	116.36 <sup>f</sup>
SEm <sub>±</sub>	1.13	1.15	0.79	0.40	0.44	0.32	1.05	0.96	0.59
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	4.34	4.44	3.04	1.56	1.69	1.24	4.06	3.71	2.28

N<sub>1</sub> = 100% N + 50% P + 50% K + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + KSB @ 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>2</sub> = 50% N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + KSB @ 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>3</sub> = 50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; B<sub>1</sub> = *Trichoderma viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + neem oil @ 0.5%; B<sub>2</sub> = *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + pongamia oil @ 0.5%; B<sub>3</sub> = *Bacillus subtilis* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + sesame oil @ 1%; Control = 100% RDF + imidacloprid @ 3 mL L<sup>-1</sup>.

@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with *T. viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + neem oil @ 0.5%. The treatment led to the highest N and P content in plant depicting an increase of 15.5% for N, 20.5% for P and 4.5% for K as compared to the 100% RDF alone. The highest mean total NPK concentration in plants was observed in the year 2023-2024. NPK concentration in plant was notably higher in treatments inoculated with *Azotobacter* and PSB as compared to those treated with mineral fertilizers alone (Table 2). Increase in N, P and K contents in garlic plants may be attributed to application of mineral fertilizers in conjunction with biofertilizers which enhanced their concentrations in root zone (El-Zohery, 2003). This increased NPK might be due to improved availability and uptake by plant through efficient absorption of nutrients by root. Additionally, free-living N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria like *Azotobacter chroococcum* can secrete growth-promoting substances including auxins, gibberellic acid and cytokinins, which might improve plant growth and root biomass by enhancing root hairs, which promoted nutrient absorption (Mohsen *et al.*, 2017).

### Nutrient uptake

The data on nutrient uptake revealed significant difference among soil nutrients and bioformulations, while their interactions were non-significant. However, there was statistically significant difference

**Table 2: Influence of soil nutrients and bioformulations on NPK contents in garlic plants**

Treat-ments	Nitrogen content (%)			Phosphorus content (%)			Potassium content (%)		
	2022-2023	2023-2024	Overall mean	2022-2023	2023-2024	Overall mean	2022-2023	2023-2024	Overall mean
<b>Soil nutrients (N)</b>									
N <sub>1</sub>	1.86b	1.91b	1.88b	0.42b	0.46b	0.44b	1.53c	1.58c	1.55c
N <sub>2</sub>	2.06a	2.11a	2.09a	0.53a	0.57a	0.55a	1.60b	1.63b	1.62b
N <sub>3</sub>	1.79c	1.85c	1.82c	0.32c	0.37c	0.35c	1.77a	1.88a	1.83a
SEm±	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.004
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.008	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
<b>Bio-formulations (B)</b>									
B <sub>1</sub>	1.94a	1.99a	1.96a	0.45a	0.49a	0.47a	1.65a	1.72a	1.69a
B <sub>2</sub>	1.87c	1.93c	1.90c	0.39c	0.44c	0.42c	1.60c	1.67c	1.63c
B <sub>3</sub>	1.90b	1.96b	1.93b	0.42b	0.46b	0.44b	1.64ab	1.70ab	1.60ab
SEm±	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.004
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.008	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
<b>Interaction (N x B)</b>									
N <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	1.90 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.93 <sup>bc</sup>	1.92 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.46 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.49 <sup>abc</sup>	0.48 <sup>abc</sup>	1.55 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.60 <sup>c</sup>	1.58 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	1.83 <sup>d</sup>	1.89 <sup>bc</sup>	1.86 <sup>cd</sup>	0.38 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.43 <sup>abc</sup>	0.41 <sup>abc</sup>	1.51 <sup>d</sup>	1.55 <sup>c</sup>	1.53 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	1.85 <sup>cd</sup>	1.91 <sup>bc</sup>	1.88 <sup>cd</sup>	0.41 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.46 <sup>abc</sup>	0.44 <sup>abc</sup>	1.53 <sup>cd</sup>	1.58 <sup>c</sup>	1.56 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	2.10 <sup>a</sup>	2.17 <sup>a</sup>	2.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 <sup>a</sup>	0.61 <sup>a</sup>	0.59 <sup>a</sup>	1.62 <sup>abc</sup>	1.66 <sup>bc</sup>	1.64 <sup>bc</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	2.02 <sup>abc</sup>	2.04 <sup>ab</sup>	2.03 <sup>abc</sup>	0.50 <sup>abc</sup>	0.54 <sup>abc</sup>	0.52 <sup>abc</sup>	1.57 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.59 <sup>c</sup>	1.58 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	2.07 <sup>ab</sup>	2.11 <sup>a</sup>	2.09 <sup>ab</sup>	0.52 <sup>ab</sup>	0.56 <sup>ab</sup>	0.54 <sup>ab</sup>	1.61 <sup>abc</sup>	1.64 <sup>bc</sup>	1.63 <sup>bc</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	1.80 <sup>d</sup>	1.87 <sup>bc</sup>	1.84 <sup>cd</sup>	0.34 <sup>cd</sup>	0.38 <sup>bc</sup>	0.36 <sup>bc</sup>	1.8 <sup>a</sup>	1.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.85 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	1.78 <sup>d</sup>	1.84 <sup>c</sup>	1.81 <sup>d</sup>	0.30 <sup>d</sup>	0.36 <sup>c</sup>	0.33 <sup>c</sup>	1.72 <sup>abc</sup>	1.86 <sup>a</sup>	1.79 <sup>ab</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	1.79 <sup>d</sup>	1.85 <sup>bc</sup>	1.82 <sup>d</sup>	0.32 <sup>d</sup>	0.38 <sup>bc</sup>	0.35 <sup>bc</sup>	1.79 <sup>a</sup>	1.89 <sup>a</sup>	1.84 <sup>a</sup>
SEm±	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.008	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Control	1.85 <sup>cd</sup>	1.86 <sup>bc</sup>	1.86 <sup>cd</sup>	0.46 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.52 <sup>abc</sup>	0.49 <sup>abc</sup>	1.73 <sup>ab</sup>	1.81 <sup>ab</sup>	1.77 <sup>ab</sup>
SEm±	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.008	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.04

N<sub>1</sub> = 100% N + 50% P + 50% K + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + KSB @ 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>2</sub> = 50% N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + KSB @ 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>3</sub> = 50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; B<sub>1</sub> = *Trichoderma viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + neem oil @ 0.5%; B<sub>2</sub> = *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + pongamia oil @ 0.5%; B<sub>3</sub> = *Bacillus subtilis* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + sesame oil @ 1%; Control = 100% RDF + imidacloprid @ 3 mL L<sup>-1</sup>.

while their interactions were non-significant. However, there was statistically significant difference between control and interaction combination. The application of different levels of RDF in combination with microbial inoculations significantly increased the total N, P and K uptake as compared to treatments involving 100% RDF without microbial inoculation in both the years (Table 3). Based on two years pooled data, the highest uptake of N (96.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and P (27.47 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in the treatment receiving 50% N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + KSB @ 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with *T. viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + neem oil @ 0.5%. However, highest K uptake (82.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noted in the treatment receiving 50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with *T. viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + neem oil @ 0.5%. The application led to the highest N and P uptake, showing an increase of N by 6.59%, P by 53.89% and K by 16.59% as compared to 100% RDF treatment. In terms of yearly variations, the highest mean N uptake was recorded in the year 2022-2023, whereas the highest mean total P and K uptake was observed in 2023-2024. N, P and K recovery efficiency was notably higher in treatments inoculated with *Azotobacter* and PSB as compared to those treated with mineral fertilizers alone (Table 3). Furthermore, nutrient uptake efficiencies in these treatments exceeded with those observed in treatments receiving mineral

fertilizers in combination with bioinoculation. The application of *Azotobacter* and KSB with 50% N and K along with 100% P led to notable increases in various plant growth parameters, this increase is potentially attributable to the enhanced uptake of N, P and K. The higher nitrogen uptake and its content in plant may be due to the biofertilizers which played important role in solubilizing the unavailable forms of inorganic nutrients to available form. Girigowda *et al.* (2005) opined that the highest NPK uptake by onion was due to the biofertilizers made these nutrients available. Our results are also supported by Shaheen *et al.* (2007), Arif *et al.* (2016) and Mulatu *et al.* (2017).

**Table 3: Influence of soil nutrients and bioformulations on N, P and K uptake by garlic plant**

Treat-ments	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Phosphorus uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Potassium uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	2022-2023	2023-2024	Overall mean	2022-2023	2023-2024	Overall mean	2022-2023	2023-2024	Overall mean
<b>Soil nutrients (N)</b>									
N <sub>1</sub>	83.1b	83.87b	83.49b	18.6b	20.13b	19.4b	68.4c	69.6c	69.0c
N <sub>2</sub>	95.4a	96.8a	96.12a	24.4a	26.58a	25.49a	74b	75.9b	74.9b
N <sub>3</sub>	79.0c	78.78c	78.92c	14.2c	15.76c	14.97c	79.61a	80.2a	79.9a
SEm±	0.29	0.41	0.32	0.12	0.21	0.16	0.36	0.39	0.30
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.89	1.25	0.98	0.17	0.65	0.50	0.10	0.19	0.89
<b>Bio-formulations (B)</b>									
B <sub>1</sub>	88.20 <sup>a</sup>	89.14 <sup>a</sup>	88.69 <sup>a</sup>	20.70 <sup>a</sup>	22.46 <sup>a</sup>	21.59 <sup>a</sup>	76.14 <sup>a</sup>	77.50 <sup>a</sup>	76.80 <sup>a</sup>
B <sub>2</sub>	83.30 <sup>c</sup>	83.30 <sup>c</sup>	83.31 <sup>c</sup>	17.10 <sup>c</sup>	19.28 <sup>c</sup>	18.45 <sup>c</sup>	71.65 <sup>c</sup>	72.40 <sup>c</sup>	72.00 <sup>c</sup>
B <sub>3</sub>	86.10 <sup>b</sup>	87.01 <sup>b</sup>	86.53 <sup>b</sup>	18.90 <sup>b</sup>	20.74 <sup>b</sup>	19.82 <sup>b</sup>	74.22 <sup>b</sup>	75.80 <sup>b</sup>	75.00 <sup>b</sup>
SEm±	0.29	0.41	0.32	0.12	0.21	0.16	0.36	0.39	0.30
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.89	1.25	0.98	0.17	0.65	0.50	0.10	0.19	0.89
<b>Interaction (N x B)</b>									
N <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	86.02 <sup>d</sup>	83.12 <sup>e</sup>	84.57 <sup>d</sup>	20.79 <sup>d</sup>	22.11 <sup>c</sup>	21.45 <sup>c</sup>	70.06 <sup>f</sup>	71.88 <sup>f</sup>	70.97 <sup>d</sup>
N <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	80.70 <sup>f</sup>	86.53 <sup>d</sup>	83.62 <sup>de</sup>	16.87 <sup>f</sup>	18.22 <sup>e</sup>	17.55 <sup>e</sup>	66.69 <sup>h</sup>	67.46 <sup>h</sup>	67.08 <sup>f</sup>
N <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	82.63 <sup>e</sup>	81.23 <sup>e</sup>	81.93 <sup>e</sup>	18.36 <sup>e</sup>	20.06 <sup>d</sup>	19.21 <sup>d</sup>	68.45 <sup>g</sup>	69.67 <sup>g</sup>	69.06 <sup>e</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	97.73 <sup>a</sup>	95.45 <sup>b</sup>	96.59 <sup>a</sup>	26.17 <sup>a</sup>	28.77 <sup>a</sup>	27.47 <sup>a</sup>	75.22 <sup>d</sup>	77.90 <sup>c</sup>	76.56 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	92.47 <sup>b</sup>	99.50 <sup>a</sup>	95.99 <sup>ab</sup>	22.97 <sup>c</sup>	24.93 <sup>b</sup>	23.95 <sup>b</sup>	72.01 <sup>e</sup>	73.18 <sup>e</sup>	72.60 <sup>d</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	96.14 <sup>a</sup>	93.17 <sup>c</sup>	94.66 <sup>b</sup>	24.05 <sup>b</sup>	26.05 <sup>b</sup>	25.05 <sup>b</sup>	74.77 <sup>d</sup>	76.69 <sup>d</sup>	75.73 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	80.99 <sup>f</sup>	79.05 <sup>f</sup>	80.02 <sup>f</sup>	15.23 <sup>g</sup>	16.49 <sup>f</sup>	15.86 <sup>f</sup>	83.14 <sup>a</sup>	82.83 <sup>a</sup>	82.99 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	76.77 <sup>g</sup>	81.39 <sup>e</sup>	79.08 <sup>fg</sup>	13.01 <sup>i</sup>	14.69 <sup>g</sup>	13.85 <sup>g</sup>	76.24 <sup>c</sup>	76.66 <sup>d</sup>	76.45 <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	79.40 <sup>f</sup>	75.50 <sup>g</sup>	77.45 <sup>g</sup>	14.30 <sup>h</sup>	16.10 <sup>fg</sup>	15.20 <sup>fg</sup>	79.44 <sup>b</sup>	81.15 <sup>b</sup>	80.30 <sup>b</sup>
SEm±	0.89	1.25	0.98	0.17	0.65	0.50	0.10	0.19	0.89
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Control	89.52 <sup>c</sup>	92.45 <sup>c</sup>	90.99 <sup>c</sup>	17.49 <sup>f</sup>	18.21 <sup>e</sup>	17.85 <sup>e</sup>	69.55 <sup>f</sup>	72.81 <sup>e</sup>	71.18 <sup>d</sup>
SEm±	0.89	1.25	0.98	0.17	0.65	0.50	0.10	0.19	0.89
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	3.42	4.81	3.77	1.45	2.52	1.91	4.24	4.57	3.44

N<sub>1</sub> = 100% N + 50% P + 50% K + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + KSB @ 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>2</sub> = 50% N + 100% P + 50% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + KSB @ 6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; N<sub>3</sub> = 50% N + 50% P + 100% K + *Azotobacter* @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; B<sub>1</sub> = *Trichoderma viride* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + neem oil @ 0.5%; B<sub>2</sub> = *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + pongamia oil @ 0.5%; B<sub>3</sub> = *Bacillus subtilis* @ 10 mL L<sup>-1</sup> + sesame oil @ 1%; Control = 100% RDF + imidacloprid @ 3 mL L<sup>-1</sup>.

**Conclusions:** The study revealed that the use of inorganic fertilizers in combination with biofertilizers has remarkably influenced soil-plant system in terms of enhanced nutrient mineralization/solubilisation/fixation resulting in higher nutrient release which, in turn, increased of nutrient uptake by the crop.

**Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest in the publication.

**Author's contributions:** SR: carried out field experiment, sample collection, data recording, drafting research paper. JC: Designed and supervised the research; helped in manuscript preparation. PP: contributed in data analysis, manuscript writing, and MS: Edited the manuscript; BN: Analyzed soil and plant samples; VS: Performed data analysis; supervised field experiment. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgments:** Authors gratefully acknowledge the Sri Konda Laxman Telangana Horticultural University, Hyderabad (India) for providing logistic and technical support to the research and also to Ministry of Tribal Affairs for financial support to carry out the current research (vide Award No. 202122-NFST-TEL-03219).

## REFERENCES

- Abou Fayssal, Sami, Kumar, P., Popescu, S.M., Hasan Sardar, Riaz Ahmad, Deep Gupta, *et al.*, 2024. Health risk assessment of heavy metals in saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) cultivated in domestic wastewater and lake water irrigated soils. *Heliyon*, **10**: e27138. [doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e27138].
- Arif, U., Hussain, S., Shah, S.Z.A., Yaqoob, A.A., Arif, A.A., Younis, A., *et al.*, 2016. Interactive effect of phosphorus and zinc on the growth, yield and nutrient uptake of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) variety Gulabi. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, **4**: 279-284.
- Arunachalam, T., Gade, K., Mahadule, P.A., Soumia, P.S., Govindasamy, V., Gawande, S.J., *et al.*, 2024. Optimizing plant growth, nutrient uptake, and yield of onion through the application of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria and endophytic fungi. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **15**: 1442912. [doi 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1442912].
- Benitez, T., Rincon, A.M., Limon, M.C. and Codon, A.C. 2004. Biocontrol mechanisms of *Trichoderma* strains. *International Microbiology*, **7**: 249-260.
- Bray, R.H. and Kurtz, L.T. 1945. Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science*, **59**: 39-45.
- Chintala, R., McDonald, L.M. and Bryan, W.B. 2012. Effect of soil water and nutrients on productivity of Kentucky bluegrass systems in acidic soils. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, **35**: 288-303.
- Dorais, M., Papadoulos A.P. and Gosselin A. 2001. Greenhouse tomato fruit quality. *Horticultural Review*, **26**: 262-319.
- El-Zohery, S.S.M. 2003. *Physiological Studies on Garlic Crop*. Ph.D. Thesis, Zagazig University, Benha Branch, Faculty Agriculture, Moshtohor, Egypt.
- Girigowda, J.R., Narsegowda, N.C. and Krishna, H.C. 2005. Effect of fertilization levels on uptake of primary nutrients and yield of onion hybrids. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **39**(4): 557-560.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1983. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA.
- Gyaneshwar, P., Naresh Kumar, G. and Parekh, L.J. 2002. Effect of buffering on the phosphate solubilizing ability of microorganisms. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **14**: 669-673.
- Jackson, M.L. 1973. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India.
- Kalayu, G. 2019. Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms: Promising approach as biofertilizers. *International Journal of Agronomy*, **2019** (1): 1-7. [doi: 10.1155/2019/4917256].
- Kenneth, O.C., Nwadike, E.C., Kalu, A.U. and Unah, U.V. 2019. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): A novel agent for sustainable food production. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences*, **14**: 35-54.

- Mohsen, A.A., Ibraheim, S.K.A. and Abdel-Fattah, M.K. 2017. Effect of potassium humate, nitrogen biofertilizer and molybdenum on growth and productivity of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). *Current Science International*, **6**(1): 75-85.
- Mulatu, A., Tesfaye, B. and Getachew, E. 2017. The influence of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth and bulb yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) varieties at Beressa Watershed, Mesqan Woreda, South Central Ethiopia. *International Journal of Horticulture and Floriculture*, **5**(3): 282-288.
- Nainwal, R.C., Singh, D., Katiyar, R.S., Sharme, L. and Tewari, S.K. 2015. Response of garlic to integrated nutrient management practices in a sodic soil of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops*, **24**(1): 33-36.
- O'Brien, P.A. 2017. Biological control of plant diseases. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, **46**: 293-304.
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C., Wattannabe, F. and Sandean, D.A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. US Department of Agriculture, Washington, USA.
- Padhan, B.K., Sathee, L., Kumar, S., Chinnusamy, V. and Kumar, A. 2023. Variation in nitrogen partitioning and reproductive stage nitrogen remobilization determines nitrogen grain production efficiency (NUEg) in diverse rice genotypes under varying nitrogen supply. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **14**: 1093581 [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1093581].
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. ICAR, New Delhi, India.
- Parreno, R., Rodríguez-Alcocer, E., Martínez-Guardiola, C., Carrasco, L., Castillo, P., Arbona, V. and Candela, H. 2023. Turning garlic into a modern crop: State of the art and perspectives. *Plants*, **12**(6): 1212. [https://www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/12/6/1212].
- Pierson, L.S. and Pierson, E.A. 2010. Metabolism and function of phenazines in bacteria: Impacts on the behaviour of bacteria in the environment and biotechnological processes. *Applied Microbiology & Biotechnology*, **86**: 1659-1670.
- Piper, C.S. 1966. *Soil and Plant Analysis*. Asia Publishing House, Mumbai, India.
- Teja, R.R., Kumar, A.K., Kumar T.S., Kumar, B.N. and Sathish, G. 2024. Effect of different levels of farmyard manure (FYM) integrated with organic modules on yield, quality and pest incidence of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, **27**(8): 1043-1057.
- Rangaswamy, R. 1995. *A Text Book of Agricultural Statistics*. New Age International Publisher, New Delhi, India.
- Rawat, J., Pandey, N. and Saxena, J. 2022. Role of potassium in plant photosynthesis, transport, growth and yield. pp. 1-19. In: *Role of Potassium in Abiotic Stress* (eds. N. Iqbal and S. Umar). Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Raza, A., Razzaq, A., Mehmood, S.S., Zou, X., Zhang, X. and Lv, Y. 2019. Impact of climate change on crops adaptation and strategies to tackle its outcome: A review. *Plants*, **8**: 34. [doi:10.3390/plants8020034].
- Saini, A., Kumari, S. and Chaudhary, P. 2024. Effect of biostimulants and nutrient management on soil nutrients level in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology*, **27**(10): 262-272.
- Shaheen, A.M., Abdel-Mouty, M.M., Ali, A.H. and Rizk, F.A. 2007. Natural and chemical phosphorus fertilizers affected onion plant growth, bulbs yield and its some physical and chemical properties. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, **1**(4): 519-524.
- Shahwar, D., Mushtaq, Z., Mushtaq, H., Alqarawi, A.A., Park, Y., Alshahrani, T.S., et al., 2023. Role of microbial inoculants as biofertilizers for improving crop productivity: A review. *Heliyon*, **9**: e16134. [doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16134].
- Sharma, S.B., Sayyed, R.Z., Trivedi, M.H. and Gobi, T.A. 2013. Phosphate solubilizing microbes: Sustainable approach for managing phosphorus deficiency in agricultural soils. *Springer Plus*, **2**: 587. [doi:10.1186/2193-1801-2-587].

- Silva, L.I., Pereira, M.C., Carvalho, A.M.X., Buttrós, V.H., Pasqual, M. and Dória, J. 2023. Phosphorus-solubilizing microorganisms: A key to sustainable agriculture. *Agriculture*, **13**: 462. [doi:10.3390/agriculture13020462].
- Spice Board, 2023-2024. Otherspices - State Agri/Horti Departments/DASD, Kozhikkode <https://www.indianspices.com/>
- Stone, D.A., Greenwood, D.J. and Karpinets, T.V. 2001. Dynamic model for the effects of soil P and fertilizer P on crop growth, P uptake and soil P in arable cropping. *Annals of Botany*, **88**: 293-306.
- Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, G.L. 1956. A rapid procedure for the estimation of the available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*, **25**: 259-260.
- Thangasamy, A. 2016. Quantification of dry matter accumulation and nutrient uptake pattern of short-day onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, **47**: 246-254.
- Vikram Pal, Maurya, V., Singh, N., Kondal, P., Kaur, R., Sharma, S., *et al.*, 2025. Effect of biofertilizers and chemical fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) *International Journal of Economic Plants*, **12**(5): 1-6.
- Vilar, C.C., Costa, A.C.S., Hoepers, A. and Junior I.G.S. 2010. Maximum phosphorus absorption capacity as related to iron and aluminum forms in subtropical soils. *Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo*, **34**: 1059-1068.
- Walkley, A. and Black, I.A. 1934. An examination of the different method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Journal of Soil Science*, **37**: 93-101.
- Wang, Z., Liu, T., Yang, G., and Zhao, S. 2024. Preparation and research on cationic modified vermiculite with strong adsorption capacity for mineralizing bacteria. *Materials Letters*, **363**: 136313. [doi:10.1016/j.matlet.2024.136313].
- Xu, Q., Zhang, T., Niu, Y., Mukherjee, S., Abou-Elwafa, S.F., Nguyen, N.S.H., *et al.*, 2024. A comprehensive review on agricultural waste utilization through sustainable conversion techniques, with a focus on the additives effect on the fate of phosphorus and toxic elements during the composting process. *Science of the Total Environment*, **942**: 173567. [doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173567].